



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/782,503 02/13/2001		Robert T. Stone	PLC-02-002U	9929	
75	90 08/28/2003	•			
Francis Law Group			EXAMINER		
1808 Santa Clara Ave Alameda, CA 94501			GRIER, LA	GRIER, LAURA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2644		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7				
,	09/782,503	STONE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Laura A Grier	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed a) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce							
Applicant may not request that any objection to th							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	, _	pproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
	armier.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		10() (1) (0					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (t).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	- h h						
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	• •						
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, and 7 of U.S. Patent No.6503207 (herein, USPN-207). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the all the claims are drawn to testing hearing of the inner ear based upon a true random stimulus sequence for improving the results of audiometric testing excluding unwanted noise artifacts or characteristics.

Regarding **claim 1**, claim 1 of USPN-207 discloses a stimulus generating means for transmitting at least one true random stimulus sequence to a subjects inner ear (line 5-6), which reads on a stimulus generating means; and a detection means for detecting an otoacousti emission signal (which a response signal from the inner ear) – lines 13-14, which reads on a detection means.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,503

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 2**, claims 1-3 of USPN-207 discloses the apparatus including an analyzer means for controlling the stimulus and generating means and analyzing (lines 16-32).

Regarding **claims 3, 5-6 and 7**, respectively, claim 1 of USPN-207 discloses an apparatus for comprising stimulus generating means for transmitting at least one true random stimulus sequence to a subjects inner ear (line 42-43), and an analyzer means indicating of providing a sampling means (lines 16-25), which reads on a stimulus generating means and sampling means.

Regarding **claims 4**, **and 8-9** claims 2-3 of USPN-207 discloses the apparatus including an analyzer means for controlling the stimulus and generating means and analyzing (lines 28-32).

Regarding **claims 10 and 14**, respectively, claim 7 of USPN-207 discloses a stimulus generating means for transmitting at least one true random stimulus sequence to a subjects inner ear (line 42-43), which reads on a stimulus generating means; and a detection means for detecting an otoacoustic emission signal (which a response signal from the inner ear) – line 48, which reads on a detection means.

Regarding **claim 11**, claim 7 of USPN-207 discloses a plurality of true random stimulus sequence presented the subject's ear (col. 53-62).

Regarding **claims 12 and 13**, respectively, respectively, claim 7 of USPN-207 discloses a stimulus generating means for transmitting at least one true random stimulus sequence to a subjects inner ear (line 42-43), which reads on presenting a

Art Unit: 2644

stimulus sequence; and a detection means for detecting an otoacoustic emission signal (which a response signal from the inner ear) – line 48, which reads on detecting the response signal; sampling which is indicated by the averaging of the set of OAE signal data and reconstructing a waveform, (lines 61-62), recording the OAE signals (line 52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thornton, U. S. Patent No. 5546956.

Regarding **claims 1, 10, and 14**, Thornton discloses testing hearing. Thornton's disclosure comprises stimulus generating equipment (2), and microphone for conveying the sound recorded in the ear canal, which reads detecting the response on the claimed limitations (col. 2, lines 51-65, and col. 5, lines 39-45). However, Thorton fails to specifically disclose the stimulus as being true random stimulus. The use of true or pure stimulus was known in the art. Thus it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Thorton by implementing true random stimulus or the like, such pure tone acoustic stimulus of the purpose of improving audiometric testing of one hearing by lessening the noise characteristics of the stimulus signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,503 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 2**, Thornton discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Thornton further discloses an analyzer. (col. 2, lines 64-65).

Regarding **claim 11**, Thornton discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). It is obvious that one of ordinary skill would include a plurality of true random stimulus for the purpose of conducting a hearing in desire to acquire an accurate measurement of the listener's hearing, wherein, it is common practice for a plurality of stimulus to be generated during a hearing test, wherein, statistically data is more precise when acquired over a plurality or multiple trials.

Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ishige et al., U. S. Patent No. 6094489 discloses a digital hearing aid and its hearing sense compensation processing method.

Bye et al., U. S. Patent No. 6366863 discloses a portable hearing-related analysis system.

Smits et al., U. S. Patent No. 6343230 discloses a hearing evaluation device with predictive capabilities.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/782,503

Art Unit: 2644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

August 23, 2003

MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER